

**STATE OF MICHIGAN**  
**COURT OF APPEALS**

---

In the Matter of RAVEN JOHNSON, Minor.

---

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

WALLACE MCCRAE,

Respondent-Appellant.

---

UNPUBLISHED

October 27, 2000

No. 225408

Kent Circuit Court

Family Division

LC No. 99-000505-NA

---

In the Matter of CIARA JOHNSON, RAVEN  
JOHNSON, and TRINEESIA JOHNSON, Minors.

---

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

DIONNE JOHNSON,

Respondent-Appellant.

---

No. 225526

Kent Circuit Court

Family Division

LC No. 99-000505-NA

Before: Judge Fitzgerald, P.J., and Hood and McDonald, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

In Docket No. 225408, respondent Wallace McCrae, father of Raven Johnson, appeals as of right the trial court order terminating his parental rights to the minor child under MCL 712A.19b(3)(c)(i) and (g); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(c)(i) and (g). In Docket No. 225526, respondent mother, Dionne

Johnson, appeals as of right the trial court order terminating her parental rights to the minor children under MCL 712A.19b(3)(c)(i) and (g); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(c)(i) and (g). We affirm.

This Court reviews for clear error both the court's decision that a ground for termination has been proven by clear and convincing evidence and, where appropriate, the court's decision regarding the child's best interest. MCR 5.974(I); *In re Trejo minors*, 462 Mich 341, 356-357; \_\_\_ NW2d \_\_\_ (2000); *In re Sours Minors*, 459 Mich 624, 633; 593 NW2d 520 (1999). Only one statutory ground is required to terminate parental rights. *Sours, supra* at 640-641; *In re Terry and Hankston minors*, 240 Mich App 14, 21-22; 610 NW2d 563 (2000). In Docket No. 225408, we find the trial court's findings were adequate under MCR 5.974(G). Moreover, the trial court did not clearly err in finding that § 19b(3)(g) was established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 5.974(I); *Sours, supra* at 633. Respondent McCrae does not challenge the trial court's finding on the best interest issue. In Docket No. 225526, we find the trial court did not clearly err in finding that § § 19b(3)(c)(i) and (g) were established by clear and convincing evidence. *Id.* Moreover, the trial court did not clearly err in finding from evidence on the whole record, that termination of respondent mother's parental rights was in the best interest of the children. *Trejo, supra* at 353-354, 357.

Affirmed.

/s/ E. Thomas Fitzgerald

/s/ Harold Hood

/s/ Gary R. McDonald